



FORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

RECEIVED
APR 30 2002
TC 1700

U.S. Department of Commerce Atty. Docket No. ARC 1016-042 Serial No. 10/026,329
Patent and Trademark Office

INFORMATION
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
BY APPLICANTS

David Vance, et al.

Applicant

December 19, 2001

Filing Date

1712

Group Art Unit

D. Metzmaier
Examiner's name

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class/Sub-class
<i>DLm</i>	5,857,810	01/12/1999	Kirk Cantrell, et al.	405/263
<i>DLm</i>	5,851,507	12/22/1998	Shahid Pirzada, et al.	423/659
<i>DLm</i>	6,057,488	05/02/2000	Olga Koper, et al.	588/200
<i>DLm</i>	5,990,373	11/23/1999	Kenneth Klabunde	588/200
<i>DLm</i>	5,922,926	07/13/1999	Dwight Back, et al.	588/205
<i>DLm</i>	5,914,436	06/22/1999	Kenneth Klabunde, et al.	588/205
<i>DLm</i>	5,922,299	07/13/1999	Paul Bruinsma, et al.	423/335
<i>DLm</i>	5,803,174	09/08/1998	Tyler Gilmore, et al.	166/292
<i>DLm</i>	5,783,088	07/21/1998	James Amonette	210/679
<i>DLm</i>	5,759,939	06/02/1998	Kenneth Klabunde, et al.	502/328
<i>DLm</i>	5,712,219	01/27/1998	Kenneth Klabunde, et al.	502/328
<i>DLm</i>	5,788,738	08/04/1998	Shahid Pirzada, et al.	75/331
<i>DLm</i>	5,849,201	12/15/1998	John Bradley	210/752
<i>DLm</i>	5,575,919	11/19/1996	Peter Santina	210/695
<i>DLm</i>	6,093,328	07/25/2000	Peter Santina	210/679

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Country/Name	Translation? yes/no
	NONE			

Daniel S. McGinnis

3-2003



RECEIVED
APR 30 2002
TC 1700

OTHER DOCUMENTS

1. NONE

Examiner <u><i>Daniel S. McFarlane</i></u>	Date Considered <u><i>3-2003</i></u>
--	--------------------------------------

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8 (A)

Date of Deposit: *April 18, 2002*

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

Kim B. Powers
Kim B. Powers